



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/581,156

05/31/2006

Brett Wang

42P21662

1865

45209

7590

08/12/2010

INTEL/BSTZ

BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY

SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040

EXAMINER

SLOMS, NICHOLAS

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2476

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/12/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/581,156	Applicant(s) WANG ET AL.	
	Examiner NICHOLAS SLOMS	Art Unit 2476	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 May 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 May 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/02/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application has been examined. Claims 1-20 are still pending.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) was submitted on 10/02/06. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

However, the U.S. publication disclosed in this submission (Cite No. 1 under U.S. Patent Documents) is not being considered. The document number is not valid.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 5, 17, and all dependent thereon, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 5, the term "substantially" is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. For purposes of examination, any pattern with any feature similar to a sinusoid is understood to read on this limitation.

Regarding claim 17, this claim is unclear because claim 11 is not directed to a system. For purposes of examination, this limitation is understood to refer to the “method” of claim 11.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 12-16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101.

Regarding claims 12-16, there are no limitations in any of these claims that preclude the system as purely software. Therefore, these claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claims 18-19, there are no limitations in any of these claims that preclude the storage medium from being transitory. Therefore, these claims are directed to non-statutory subject matter. Inserting the term “non-transitory” before the term “storage medium” in claim 18 will result in withdrawal of this rejection of claims 18-19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. Claims 1-7, 12-15, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zhang et al. (US 6,775,240), hereinafter “Zhang”.

Regarding claim 1, Zhang discloses a method comprising: receiving in a signal processing subsystem a first signal including a predetermined pattern; receiving in the signal processing subsystem a second signal including the predetermined pattern (See figure 1. Reference and test path signals are received. See ¶ at column 3, line 13; also at column 5, line 1. Also see figure 4 and column 8, line 48.); and

determining by the signal processing subsystem a transmission latency between the received first signal and the received second signal based on the predetermined pattern (See e.g. column 7, lines 50-65.).

Regarding claim 2, Zhang further discloses wherein the first signal is received from a first source and the second signal is received from a second source (See figure 1. The test path includes source elements different from the reference path.).

Regarding claim 3, Zhang further discloses inserting the predetermined pattern in the first and second signals prior to receiving the first and second signals in the signal processing subsystem (See e.g. ¶ at column 6, line 30.).

Regarding claim 4, Zhang further discloses recording the received first and second signals in a combination waveform; and determining the transmission latency between the received first and second signals from the combination waveform (See e.g. ¶ at column 6, line 50; also at column 7, line 27.).

Regarding claim 5, Zhang further discloses wherein the predetermined pattern is substantially sinusoidal and comprises a predetermined period (See figure 4 and ¶ at column 7, line 66.).

Regarding claim 6, Zhang further discloses wherein the predetermined period is greater than a transmission latency period (See figure 4. The delay is shown as shorter than the predetermined period.).

Regarding claim 7, Zhang further discloses obtaining from the first signal a first pattern corresponding to the predetermined pattern; obtaining from the second signal a second pattern corresponding to the predetermined pattern (See figures 1 and 4. A predetermined pattern is sent along the reference and test path. The obtained patterns may be different.);

determining a first time-position corresponding to the obtained first pattern; determining a second time-position corresponding to the obtained second pattern; and determining a latency value between the first time-position and second time-position, the transmission latency comprising the determined latency value (See e.g. ¶s at column 7, line 66, and column 8, line 46. Also note figure 3.).

Regarding claim 12, Zhang discloses a system comprising: a pattern insertion subsystem to insert a predetermined pattern into a first signal and a second signal; and a signal processing subsystem to (i) receive the inserted first signal and the inserted second signal (See figure 1. Reference and test path signals are received. See ¶ at column 3, line 13; also at column 5, line 1.

Art Unit: 2476

Also see figure 4 and column 8, line 48.), and (ii) determine a transmission latency between the received signals based on the predetermined pattern (See e.g. column 7, lines 50-65.).

Regarding claim 13, Zhang further discloses a filter subsystem to obtain a first pattern corresponding to the predetermined pattern from the inserted first signal and a second pattern corresponding to the predetermined pattern from the inserted second signal (See figures 1 and 4. A predetermined pattern is sent along the reference and test path. The obtained patterns may be different.);

a timer subsystem to determine a first time-position corresponding to the obtained first pattern, and a second time-position corresponding to the obtained second pattern; and a latency determination logic to determine a latency between the first time-position and second time-position wherein the transmission latency comprises the determined latency (See e.g. ¶s at column 7, line 66, and column 8, line 46. Also note figure 3.).

Regarding claim 14, Zhang further discloses a recordation subsystem to record the received inserted first and second signals in a combination waveform (See e.g. ¶ at column 6, line 50; also at column 7, line 27. Also see ¶ at column 5, line 34.).

Regarding claim 15, Zhang further discloses a first input to receive the inserted first signal; and a second input to receive the inserted second signal (See figure 1, items 124 and 126. Also note.).

Regarding claim 18, Zhang discloses a storage medium that provides software that, if executed by a signal processing subsystem, will cause the signal processing subsystem to perform the following operations: receive a first signal comprising a predetermined pattern; receive a second signal comprising the predetermined pattern (See figure 1. Reference and test path signals are received. See ¶ at column 3, line 13; also at column 5, line 1. Also see figure 4 and column 8, line 48.); and

determine a transmission latency between the received first signal and the received second signal based on the predetermined pattern (See e.g. column 7, lines 50-65.).

Regarding claim 19, Zhang further discloses inserting the predetermined pattern into the first and second signals prior to the receipt of the first and second signals in the signal processing subsystem (See e.g. ¶ at column 6, line 30.).

Regarding claim 20, Zhang further discloses the following operations:

obtain from the first signal a first pattern corresponding to the predetermined pattern; obtain from the second signal a second pattern corresponding to the predetermined pattern (See figures 1 and 4. A predetermined pattern is sent along the reference and test path. The obtained patterns may be different.);

determine a first time-position corresponding to the obtained first pattern; determine a second time-position corresponding to the obtained second pattern and determine a latency between the first time-position and second time-position where in the transmission latency comprises the determined latency (See e.g. ¶s at column 7, line 66, and column 8, line 46. Also note figure 3.).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

11. Claims 8-11, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zhang et al. (US 6,775,240), hereinafter “Zhang”, in view of Liu et al. (US 2006/0072628), hereinafter “Liu”.

Regarding claim 8, Zhang substantially discloses the method as set forth in 1 above.

Zhang does not explicitly state both a plurality of first and second signals each containing the predetermined pattern. However, Liu discusses burst delay or the delaying of multiple packets (¶20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the system taught by Zhang to a plurality of packets in order to account for burst delay.

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Zhang and Liu further teaches obtaining a plurality of first patterns corresponding to the predetermined pattern in each of the plurality of first signals; obtaining a plurality of second patterns corresponding to the predetermined pattern in each of the plurality of second signals; determining a plurality of first time-positions, wherein each first time-position in the plurality of first time-positions corresponding to an obtained first pattern (See Zhang, figures 1 and 4. A predetermined pattern is sent along the reference and test path. The obtained patterns may be different.);

determining a plurality of second time-positions, wherein each second time-position in the plurality of second time-positions corresponding to an obtained second pattern; and determining a plurality of latency values between the first time-positions and the second time-positions, wherein each latency value in the plurality of latency values corresponds to a latency between a first time-position and a corresponding second time-position; determining an average latency value from the plurality of latency values, the transmission latency comprising the determined average latency value (See Zhang, e.g. ¶s at column 7, line 66, and column 8, line 46. Also note figure 3.).

Regarding claim 10, the combination of Zhang and Liu further teaches inserting the predetermined pattern in a plurality of first and second signals prior to receiving the plurality of first and second signals in the signal processing subsystem (See Zhang, e.g. ¶ at column 6, line 30.).

Regarding claim 11, the combination of Zhang and Liu further teaches recording the received plurality of first and second signals in a combination waveform; and determining the transmission latency between the received first and second signals from the combination waveform (See Zhang, e.g. ¶ at column 6, line 50; also at column 7, line 27.).

Regarding claim 16, Zhang substantially discloses the method as set forth in 1 above. Zhang does not explicitly state both a plurality of first and second signals each containing the predetermined pattern. However, Liu discusses burst delay or the delaying of multiple packets (¶20). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the system taught by Zhang to a plurality of packets in order to account for burst delay.

The combination of Zhang and Liu teaches wherein the signal processing subsystem is to receive a plurality of first signals each comprising a predetermined pattern, and a plurality of second signals each comprising the predetermined pattern, the filter subsystem is to obtain a plurality of first patterns corresponding to the predetermined pattern in each of the plurality of first signals, and to obtain a plurality of second patterns corresponding to the predetermined pattern in each of the plurality of second signals (See figures 1 and 4. A predetermined pattern is sent along the reference and test path. The obtained patterns may be different.),

the timer subsystem is to determine a plurality of first time-positions, wherein each first time-position in the plurality of first time-positions corresponding to an obtained first pattern, and to determine a plurality of second time-positions, wherein each second time-position in the plurality of second time-positions corresponding to an obtained second pattern, and the latency determination logic is to determine a plurality of latencies between the first time-positions and the second time-positions, wherein each latency in the plurality of latencies corresponds to a latency between a first time-position and a corresponding second time-position, and to determine an average latency value from the plurality of latencies, the transmission latency comprising the determined average latency (See e.g. ¶s at column 7, line 66, and column 8, line 46. Also note figure 3.).

Regarding claim 17, the combination of Zhang and Liu further teaches wherein the first signal is received from an audio source and the second signal is received from an audio sink (See Zhang, e.g. abstract, “audio paths”).

Examiner Note: Examiner has cited particular paragraphs in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in their entirety as potentially teaching of all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Conclusion

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas Sloms whose telephone number is (571)270-7520. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/NS/

/Ayaz R. Sheikh/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit
2476